

Choosing the Right Pump: Technical and Commercial Considerations Beyond Purchase Price

Prepared by the Metsiworx Engineering Team

Abstract

Pump selection in municipal water and wastewater infrastructure is frequently driven primarily by initial capital cost and duty point compliance. While flow and head requirements remain fundamental design considerations, selecting pumps based solely on purchase price often results in significantly higher operational and maintenance costs over the lifecycle of the infrastructure.

This paper examines the technical and commercial considerations required for effective pump selection in water and wastewater applications. The discussion explores hydraulic performance, Best Efficiency Point (BEP), cavitation, solids handling capability, lifecycle costing, operational reliability, maintenance implications and long-term infrastructure sustainability. The paper further highlights why two pumps operating at the same duty point may deliver significantly different operational and commercial outcomes over time.

1. Introduction

Pumping systems form a critical component of municipal, industrial and commercial infrastructure. In wastewater and water applications, pumps are expected to operate continuously and reliably under demanding operating conditions for extended periods of time.

Despite the critical operational role of pumping systems, procurement decisions are frequently based primarily on lowest capital cost or simple duty point compliance. In many cases, pump selections are considered technically acceptable if they satisfy the required flow and head conditions.

However, two pumps operating at the same duty point may perform significantly differently when factors such as:

- Hydraulic efficiency,
- Solids handling capability,

- Cavitation resistance,
 - Energy consumption,
 - Reliability,
 - Maintenance requirements,
 - And lifecycle cost
- are considered.

Incorrect pump selection can result in excessive operational expenditure, increased downtime, frequent clogging, premature equipment failure and environmental risks.

This paper examines the importance of considering both technical and commercial factors when selecting pumps for water and wastewater infrastructure.

2. Understanding the Duty Point

The duty point of a pump is typically defined by the required flow and total dynamic head of the system.

While flow and head remain fundamental design requirements, pump selection should not be based solely on achieving these two parameters.

Many procurement processes incorrectly assume that pumps operating at the same duty point are technically equivalent. In practice, significant differences may exist between pumps with identical flow and head characteristics.

Important considerations include:

- Best Efficiency Point (BEP),
- Hydraulic stability,
- Net Positive Suction Head (NPSH),
- Impeller design,
- Solids handling capability,
- Wear resistance,
- And sustained efficiency over time.

Failure to evaluate these factors frequently results in poor operational performance and increased lifecycle costs.

3. Best Efficiency Point and Hydraulic Performance

A pump should ideally operate as close as possible to its Best Efficiency Point (BEP).

Operation away from BEP may result in:

- Increased vibration,
- Hydraulic instability,

- Excessive recirculation,
- Higher energy consumption,
- Premature bearing wear,
- Seal failures,
- And reduced equipment life.

In wastewater pumping systems particularly, oversized pumps are common. This often occurs when systems are designed primarily around peak flow conditions rather than normal operating flows.

Oversized pumps frequently operate inefficiently for the majority of their service life, increasing energy consumption and reducing reliability.

Proper hydraulic analysis and system curve evaluation are therefore essential components of correct pump selection.

4. Cavitation and NPSH Considerations

Cavitation remains one of the most misunderstood causes of pump failure in municipal infrastructure.

Cavitation occurs when the available suction pressure falls below the vapour pressure of the liquid, causing vapour bubbles to form and collapse within the pump.

This can result in:

- Severe impeller damage,
- Vibration,
- Noise,
- Reduced performance,
- Mechanical seal failures,
- And catastrophic equipment damage.

Net Positive Suction Head Required (NPSH_r) and Net Positive Suction Head Available (NPSH_a) are therefore critical design considerations.

Two pumps operating at the same duty point may possess significantly different NPSH_r characteristics. A pump with lower NPSH_r may provide substantially greater operational reliability and reduced cavitation risk.

This is particularly important in:

- Self-priming applications,
- Deep wet well installations,
- Long suction pipelines,
- And high-temperature applications.

5. Solids Handling and Wastewater Applications

Wastewater pumping systems present unique operational challenges due to the presence of:

- Fibrous materials,
- Wet wipes,
- Sand,
- Grit,
- Organic solids,
- And debris.

Pump selection for wastewater applications should therefore include careful evaluation of:

- Impeller design,
- Passage size,
- Anti-ragging capability,
- Self-cleaning hydraulics,
- And sustained hydraulic efficiency.

Conventional impeller designs may experience partial clogging and ragging under wastewater operating conditions. This frequently results in:

- Reduced hydraulic efficiency,
- Increased energy consumption,
- Repeated maintenance call-outs,
- And operational downtime.

Self-cleaning hydraulic designs and anti-ragging impellers can significantly improve operational reliability and reduce lifecycle costs in wastewater applications.

6. Energy Consumption and Lifecycle Costing

The initial purchase price of a pump frequently represents only a small portion of the total lifecycle cost of the infrastructure.

Energy consumption, maintenance, downtime and operational losses typically dominate total expenditure over the operational life of the pump station.

Life Cycle Costing (LCC) may be expressed as:

$$LCC = C_{ic} + C_{in} + C_e + C_o + C_m + C_s + C_{env} + C_d$$

Where:

- C_{ic} = Initial purchase costs,
- C_{in} = Installation and commissioning costs,
- C_e = Energy costs,
- C_o = Operational costs,
- C_m = Maintenance costs,
- C_s = Downtime costs,
- C_{env} = Environmental costs,
- C_d = Decommissioning costs.

In many wastewater pumping applications, energy and maintenance costs significantly exceed the initial purchase price of the pump.

A lower-cost pump may therefore become substantially more expensive over the operational life of the system if:

- Hydraulic efficiency is poor,
- Maintenance requirements are excessive,
- Reliability is reduced,
- Or clogging occurs frequently.

7. Commercial and Operational Considerations

Pump selection should not be evaluated solely from a technical perspective.

Commercial and operational considerations are equally important and may include:

- Availability of spare parts,
- OEM support,
- Local service capability,
- Maintenance accessibility,
- Downtime implications,
- Emergency response capability,
- Operator familiarity,
- And long-term reliability.

In municipal wastewater applications, operational downtime may result in:

- Sewer overflows,
- Environmental contamination,
- Regulatory penalties,
- Public health risks,
- And reputational damage.

The commercial consequences of incorrect pump selection may therefore significantly exceed the initial capital cost difference between competing solutions.

8. Why Equivalent Pumps Are Often Not Equivalent

Many procurement processes classify pumps as technically equivalent if they achieve the same flow and head conditions.

In practice, however, significant differences may exist between apparently equivalent pumps including:

- Hydraulic efficiency,
- NPSHr,

- Impeller design,
- Solids handling capability,
- Wear materials,
- Motor cooling arrangements,
- Bearing design,
- Seal design,
- And maintenance requirements.

Two pumps operating at identical duty points may therefore produce significantly different outcomes in terms of:

- Energy consumption,
- Reliability,
- Maintenance frequency,
- Downtime,
- And lifecycle cost.

Technical equivalence should therefore extend beyond duty point compliance alone.

9. Recommended Pump Evaluation Methodology

Effective pump selection requires both technical and commercial evaluation.

Recommended assessment criteria include:

- Hydraulic performance,
- Best Efficiency Point,
- NPSH margin,
- Solids handling capability,
- Sustained efficiency,
- Energy consumption,
- Lifecycle cost,
- Reliability,
- Maintenance requirements,
- OEM support,
- Spare part availability,
- And operational risk.

Proper coordination between:

- Mechanical engineers,
- Electrical engineers,
- Operators,
- OEM suppliers,
- And maintenance personnel

is essential to ensure long-term infrastructure reliability and sustainability.

10. Conclusion

The correct pump selection is not determined solely by lowest purchase price or duty point compliance.

In modern water and wastewater infrastructure, pump selection requires detailed evaluation of:

- Hydraulic performance,
- Cavitation resistance,
- Solids handling capability,
- Energy efficiency,
- Reliability,
- Lifecycle cost,
- And operational sustainability.

Two pumps operating at the same flow and head conditions may produce significantly different operational and commercial outcomes over the lifecycle of the infrastructure.

The true value of a pump should therefore be measured not by its initial purchase price, but by its long-term technical, operational and commercial performance.

In wastewater and water infrastructure, the cheapest pump is often the most expensive decision over time.

References

Hydraulic Institute – Pump Application Guidelines.

ANSI/HI Standards for Rotodynamic Pumps.

IEC Standards for Rotating Electrical Machines.

ISO 5199 – Technical Specifications for Centrifugal Pumps.

Wastewater Pumping Best Practice Guidelines.

Pump Manufacturer Hydraulic Design Manuals.

Life Cycle Costing Guidelines for Pumping Systems.