

Life Cycle Costing of Pumping Systems:

Why the Cheapest Pump Often Becomes the Most Expensive

Prepared by the Metsiworx Engineering Team

Abstract

In many municipal, industrial and commercial pumping applications, procurement decisions continue to be driven primarily by initial capital expenditure rather than total cost of ownership. While upfront purchase price remains an important consideration, it often represents less than 10% of the total life cycle cost (LCC) of a pumping system. Energy consumption, maintenance, downtime, environmental exposure and operational inefficiencies typically dominate long-term expenditure.

This paper examines the importance of Life Cycle Costing (LCC) in wastewater and water pumping systems, with particular emphasis on energy efficiency, sustained hydraulic performance, maintenance reduction and operational reliability. The paper further explores how pump selection, system design and intelligent control strategies influence total lifecycle costs. The discussion highlights the risks associated with lowest-cost procurement models and demonstrates why long-term operational performance should form a critical component of engineering decision-making.

1. Introduction

Pumping systems form a critical component of municipal, industrial and commercial infrastructure. In wastewater and water applications particularly, pumping systems are expected to operate continuously and reliably under demanding operating conditions for extended periods of time.

Despite the critical nature of these systems, procurement decisions are frequently based primarily on lowest capital cost rather than long-term operational performance. While this approach may reduce initial expenditure, it often results in significantly higher operational costs over the lifespan of the installation.

The purchase price of a pumping system commonly represents less than 10% of the total life cycle cost of the asset. Energy consumption, maintenance, downtime and environmental risks typically account for the majority of total expenditure over the operational life of the system.

As municipalities and industries continue to face increasing financial pressure, aging infrastructure and rising energy costs, the application of Life Cycle Costing (LCC) has become increasingly important in the evaluation and selection of pumping systems.

2. Life Cycle Costing Principles

Life Cycle Costing (LCC) is a methodology used to evaluate the total cost of a pumping system over its complete operational life.

The Hydraulic Institute defines Life Cycle Costing using the following equation:

$$LCC = C_{ic} + C_{in} + C_e + C_o + C_m + C_s + C_{env} + C_d$$

Where:

- C_{ic} = Initial purchase costs
- C_{in} = Installation and commissioning costs
- C_e = Energy costs
- C_o = Operational costs
- C_m = Maintenance and repair costs
- C_s = Downtime costs
- C_{env} = Environmental costs
- C_d = Decommissioning costs

The purpose of LCC analysis is to move procurement decisions beyond short-term capital expenditure and towards long-term operational sustainability.

In wastewater pumping systems, energy costs often account for approximately 34% of the total lifecycle cost, while maintenance contributes approximately 26%. By comparison, the initial purchase cost may represent as little as 10% of the total ownership cost.

3. Energy Consumption and Operational Efficiency

Energy consumption is typically the largest contributor to the total lifecycle cost of a pumping system.

The energy required to transport liquid through a pumping system may be evaluated using the concept of specific

energy:

$$E_s = (\rho g H) / \eta_{\text{tot}}$$

The equation demonstrates that energy consumption is directly influenced by total system head, hydraulic efficiency, motor efficiency and overall system design.

Even relatively small reductions in hydraulic efficiency can result in substantial increases in operational energy expenditure over the lifespan of a pumping station.

Variable Speed Drives (VSDs), intelligent pump controls and efficient hydraulic designs can significantly reduce energy consumption when properly applied. However, VSD applications must be carefully engineered in wastewater systems to avoid increased ragging and clogging caused by longer operational cycles.

4. Best Efficiency Point and Pump Selection

Pump selection plays a critical role in minimising lifecycle costs.

A pump should ideally operate as close as possible to its Best Efficiency Point (BEP). Operation away from BEP can result in increased vibration, hydraulic instability, excessive wear, higher energy consumption, increased maintenance and reduced equipment life.

Many wastewater pumping stations are oversized relative to their actual operating conditions. This often occurs when systems are designed primarily around peak flow conditions rather than normal operating flows.

In practice, wastewater inflow conditions vary significantly throughout the day. Designing exclusively for peak conditions frequently results in oversized pumps operating inefficiently for most of their operational life.

Proper hydraulic analysis, diurnal flow assessment and system modelling are therefore essential components of LCC optimisation.

5. Sustained Hydraulic Efficiency in Wastewater Applications

One of the most overlooked aspects of wastewater pump selection is sustained hydraulic efficiency.

Conventional wastewater pumps operating in solids-bearing applications are susceptible to partial clogging,

ragging, hydraulic inefficiency and increased maintenance.

Stringy and fibrous materials commonly found in wastewater applications can accumulate around conventional impeller leading edges, progressively reducing pump performance and efficiency.

This reduction in efficiency not only increases energy usage but also contributes to repeated maintenance call-outs and reduced operational reliability.

Self-cleaning hydraulic technologies and anti-ragging impeller designs can significantly reduce lifecycle costs by maintaining hydraulic efficiency, reducing clogging, minimising emergency maintenance, improving reliability and extending service intervals.

In many wastewater applications, reducing unplanned maintenance often delivers greater lifecycle savings than marginal improvements in motor efficiency alone.

6. Maintenance and Downtime Costs

Maintenance is frequently underestimated during procurement evaluations despite representing approximately one quarter of total lifecycle costs.

Maintenance costs include planned servicing, spare parts, labour, emergency call-outs, transport, crane hire, downtime losses and environmental remediation.

Unplanned maintenance caused by repeated clogging can rapidly exceed the original purchase price of the pumps themselves.

In municipal wastewater applications, operational downtime may further result in sewer overflows, environmental contamination, regulatory penalties, public health risks, loss of service delivery and reputational damage.

The financial consequences of a single overflow event can significantly exceed the initial cost difference between competing pump selections.

This reinforces the importance of selecting pumping systems based on operational reliability rather than purely capital cost.

7. Pump Station Design and System Optimisation

Life Cycle Costing extends beyond pump selection alone.

The overall system design has a major influence on operational efficiency and long-term reliability.

Critical design considerations include:

- Pump sump geometry
- Inlet hydraulics
- Force main sizing
- Sedimentation control
- Velocity optimisation
- CFD analysis
- Pump arrangement
- Duty and standby philosophy
- Redundancy planning

Poor station design can lead to turbulence, air entrainment, sedimentation, hydraulic instability, increased clogging and excessive wear.

In long force mains, pipe diameter optimisation is particularly important. Smaller diameter pipes reduce capital expenditure but increase friction losses and energy consumption. Oversized pipes may reduce velocities below acceptable self-cleansing limits.

An optimised balance between capital expenditure and operational efficiency is therefore essential.

8. Environmental and Sustainability Considerations

Environmental costs are becoming increasingly important in modern infrastructure projects.

These may include spill response, environmental remediation, carbon taxes, energy-related emissions, compliance penalties and future regulatory upgrades.

As sustainability targets and carbon reduction initiatives continue to strengthen globally, energy-efficient pumping systems will become increasingly important.

Municipalities and industries that ignore lifecycle energy performance today may face substantial future financial and regulatory consequences.

9. The Importance of LCC in Municipal Procurement

Traditional procurement models frequently prioritise lowest capital cost, particularly in public-sector tenders.

However, this approach often transfers hidden costs into future operational budgets.

Municipalities, consultants and asset owners should therefore evaluate:

- Lifecycle energy consumption
- Sustained hydraulic efficiency
- Maintenance requirements
- Reliability performance
- Downtime risk
- Environmental exposure
- Net present value
- Long-term operational expenditure

The inclusion of formal Life Cycle Cost evaluations within technical specifications and tender adjudication criteria can significantly improve infrastructure sustainability and operational reliability.

10. Conclusion

The true cost of a pumping system is not determined at the point of purchase, but over decades of operation, maintenance, energy consumption and reliability performance.

In wastewater and water infrastructure applications, energy and maintenance costs typically far exceed the initial purchase price of the equipment. Selecting pumps based solely on capital cost frequently results in increased operational expenditure, reduced reliability and greater environmental risk.

Life Cycle Costing provides engineers, municipalities and operators with a structured methodology for evaluating the total cost of ownership and identifying the most sustainable technical solution.

Ultimately, the objective should not be to purchase the cheapest pump, but rather to select the pumping system that delivers the lowest total lifecycle cost, highest operational reliability and greatest long-term value.

In modern infrastructure engineering, the cheapest pump is rarely the least expensive solution.

References

Hydraulic Institute. Guidelines for Life Cycle Cost Evaluation of Pumping Systems.

Hydraulic Institute. Rotodynamic Pumps for Pump Intake Design.

IEC 60034-30-1:2014 – Efficiency Classes of Line Operated AC Motors.

ISO 50001 – Energy Management Systems.

International Energy Agency (IEA), World Energy Outlook 2014.

Xylem White Paper: Life Cycle Costs for Wastewater Pumping Systems.